



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

12 JAN 2007

LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS M. ISAACSON, LLC
10415 SOUTHERN MARYLAND BLVD.
DUNKIRK, MD 20754

In re Application of JACKSON :
U.S. Application No.: 10/597,898 :
PCT Application No.: PCT/US2005/021427 :
Int. Filing Date: 17 June 2005 :
Priority Date Claimed: 18 June 2004 : COMMUNICATION
Attorney Docket No.: 010-0011-US :
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING :
ADVANCED RESERVATIONS IN A :
COMPUTE ENVIRONMENT :

This application is before the Office of PCT Legal Administration for consideration of issues arising under 35 U.S.C. 371.

BACKGROUND

On 17 June 2005, applicant filed international application PCT/US2005/021427, which claimed priority of an earlier United States application filed 18 June 2004. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired on 18 December 2006.

On 11 August 2006, applicant filed purported national stage papers in the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US). The submission was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the basic national fee required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1) and an application data sheet (ADS).

DISCUSSION

Any intended filing of an international application as a national stage application must clearly and unambiguously be identified as such and must satisfy all of the conditions set forth in 35 U.S.C. 371(c). The official USPTO Notice published in the Official Gazette at 1077 OG 13 entitled "Minimum Requirements for Acceptance of Applications Under 35 U.S.C. 371 (the National Stage of PCT)" states in relevant part:

The Patent and Trademark Office is continuing to receive application papers which do not clearly identify whether the papers (1) are being submitted

to enter the national stage of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) under 35 U.S.C. 371 or (2) are being filed as a regular national application under 35 U.S.C. 111. . . .

If there are any conflicting instructions as to which sections of the statute (371 or 111) is intended the application will be accepted under 35 U.S.C. 111. (Emphasis added.)

Although the Transmittal Letter filed 11 August 2006 identified the application as a national stage application being filed under 35 U.S.C. 371, the concurrent submission of the ADS which identified the present application as a continuation of international application PCT/US2005/021427 is inconsistent with and would have contradicted any desire expressed in any papers that might have been filed to enter the national stage of the PCT under 35 U.S.C. 371. See MPEP 1893.03(a), which states, " A conflicting instruction will be present, for example, where applicant includes in the initial submission under 35 U.S.C. 371, . . . a benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 120 to the international application." Moreover, it is not possible under 35 U.S.C. 371 to file a national stage entry of an international application which is a continuation of the same international application. However, it is permissible to file a continuation of one or more international applications under 35 U.S.C. 111(a). Because the 11 August 2006 submission was not clearly and unambiguously identified as a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371, the submission should have been treated as a submission under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).

CONCLUSION

This application is being forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing in accordance with this decision, including treatment of the application as a continuation application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).



Bryan Lin
PCT Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Office

Telephone: 571-272-3303
Facsimile: 571-273-0459